

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Energy transfer upconversion in $^{Ho^{3+}}$ and $^{Ho^{3+},\ Yb^{3+}}$ doped CdF_2 crystals

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1998 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 10 493

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/10/2/027)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.209

The article was downloaded on 14/05/2010 at 11:58

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Energy transfer upconversion in Ho³⁺ and Ho³⁺, Yb³⁺ doped CdF₂ crystals

Xiao Zhang†‡§, Jean-Pierre Jouart† and Gérard Mary†

† Laboratoire d'Energétique et d'Optique, GRSM, Université de Reims, BP 1039, 51687 Reims Cédex, France

‡ Laboratory of Excited State Processes, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 130021 Changchun, People's Republic of China

Received 19 August 1997, in final form 13 October 1997

Abstract. A comparative spectroscopic study for Ho^{3+} and Ho^{3+} , Yb^{3+} doped CdF_2 crystals has been realised at liquid nitrogen temperature. The Ho^{3+} single-doped sample shows principally blue emission corresponding to the ${}^5F_3 \to {}^5I_8$ transition, whereas the Ho^{3+} , Yb^{3+} codoped sample gives rise to a very efficient green emission which is ascribed to the 5F_4 , ${}^5S_2 \to {}^5I_8$ transition. The total integrated upconversion emission intensity (including blue and green emissions) for the codoped crystal is three times more intense than that of the Ho^{3+} doped one, while the ratio for green emission rises to 27. The green emission in the codoped sample results mainly from the Ho^{3+} – Yb^{3+} mixed centre, whereas the blue emission is essentially due to the Ho^{3+} – Ho^{3+} pair. Two energy transfer upconversion mechanisms have been proposed and are discussed in the paper.

1. Introduction

Cadmium fluoride (CdF₂) has a fluorite-type (CaF₂) crystal structure with a lattice parameter of about 5.39 Å [1]. When introduced into the CdF₂ crystal lattice, the rare earth ions replace the original Cd²⁺ positions, and therefore, a charge compensator is required to attain electric neutrality. Unlike the case in other fluorite-type crystals such as CaF₂ and SrF₂, the single Ln³⁺ ions in CdF₂ do not preferentially associate with a nearby interstitial fluoride ion (F_i^-), and thus the C_{4v} and C_{3v} sites are less important in this crystal [2–5]. It has been found that for most of the rare earth ions, there are two kinds of principal crystal site in the doped CdF₂ crystal: an Ln³⁺ ion with cubic symmetry where the fluoride interstitial compensates the La³⁺ ion distantly and a dimer site (or pair) formed by two adjacent Ln³⁺ ions accompanied by two F_i^- ions [2, 3]. The cubic site generally plays an important role in the crystals at low impurity concentration until the formation of clusters. In heavily doped crystals, in contrast, the Ln³⁺-Ln³⁺ cluster configuration is the dominant site.

The infrared to green and blue upconversion induced by energy transfer from the Yb³⁺ ion to the Ln³⁺ ions (Er³⁺, Ho³⁺, Tm³⁺) has been investigated extensively in various host materials since the 1960s [6–14]. Among them, the first upconversion laser operation has been realized [12]. In several cases, the Yb³⁺ ion can be also employed as a 'bridge' of excitation energy: Yb³⁺ functions both as an energy acceptor and an energy donor, and the absorbed energy was transferred from one activator ion (such as Ho³⁺) to another through

[§] Address for correspondence: Changchun Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 130021 Changchun, People's Republic of China.

 Yb^{3+} ions. As a result, one can obtain upconversion luminescence from the levels which cannot be reached without Yb^{3+} , or one can greatly enhance the upconversion intensity from the same level as the Ln^{3+} single-doped crystal [13, 14]. In this paper, we have studied the Ho^{3+} upconversion luminescence properties and the role played by Yb^{3+} in the Ho^{3+} green upconversion in CdF_2 crystals.

The crystals studied are CdF₂:2 mol% Ho³⁺ and CdF₂:2 mol% Ho³⁺, 2 mol% Yb³⁺. Since the concentrations for both rare earth ions are relatively high, the principal sites are paired Ho³⁺, Ho³⁺ and Ho³⁺, Yb³⁺ mixed centres. Actually, we have identified only one kind of emission and excitation spectrum in both crystals. It has been found that in the codoped crystal the Yb³⁺ ion serves as a very efficient energy bridge which converts the original Ho³⁺ red emission (${}^5F_5 \rightarrow {}^5I_8$) into the green emission (5F_4 , ${}^5S_2 \rightarrow {}^5I_8$) when the dye laser is tuned on the Ho³⁺ ${}^5I_8 \rightarrow {}^5F_5$ excitation transition. The result of this conversion is that the Ho³⁺ green emission is multiplied by more than 27 times, which implies a preference to form Ho³⁺–Yb³⁺ mixed centres in this crystal.

2. Experiment

The $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ and $\mathrm{Yb^{3+}}$ doped crystals were grown in our laboratory by the well known Bridgman technique [15]. For both crystals, the $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ and $\mathrm{Yb^{3+}}$ ions were introduced in the form of $\mathrm{Ho_2O_3}$ and $\mathrm{Yb_2O_3}$ with a concentration of 1 mol% for both rare earth oxides. The crystal growth was realized under a fluoride atmosphere, and the oxygen-free crystals were obtained [15].

For upconversion study, the emission and excitation spectra were obtained by exciting the crystals with an Ar⁺ laser (Spectra Physics 2000) pumped tuneable dye laser (Spectra Physics 375). The working media is Kiton red to permit the dye laser to be tuned from 620 to 670 nm with a maximum at about 640 nm. The crystal was placed in a cryostat cooled to liquid nitrogen temperature (77 K). The laser beam was focused into the crystal with a lens of 20 cm focal length. Emission signal from the sample was dispersed by a Coderg T800 three-grating monochromator and detected by a water-cooled photomultiplier (EMI 9558 QB). Dynamic study of the luminescence was performed with a Metrix oscillograph (OX 750-2). The laser beam was chopped by a Pockels cell. The rise and decay signals were analysed by a micro-computer.

3. Experimental results and discussion

Under red dye laser excitation, Ho^{3+} single-doped crystals show principally blue upconversion extending from 21 000 cm _1 to 21 800 cm _1 , corresponding to the $^5F_3 \rightarrow ^5I_8$ transition. The green upconversion intensity of 5F_4 , $^5S_2 \rightarrow ^5I_8$ transition is relatively weak compared to that of the blue emission. The excitation spectra of the blue, green and red emissions show similar structure, indicating that the upconversion probably results from the energy transfer between paired Ho^{3+} ions, whereas the successive absorption is less important. This phenomenon was also observed for the Ho^{3+} dimer centres in CaF_2 and SrF_2 [16, 17]. By comparing with the results observed in Ho^{3+} doped CaF_2 and SrF_2 crystals, no single Ho^{3+} C_{3v} , C_{4v} sites were recognized. Therefore, the sites present in the CdF_2 crystal are essentially some kinds of cluster centres.

The crystal codoped with the Yb³⁺ and Ho³⁺ ions shows a very bright green upconversion upon the same excitation. The excitation spectra of the green emission from two crystals doped with Ho³⁺ and Ho³⁺, Yb³⁺, respectively, are shown in figure 1. It is




Figure 1. Red laser excitation spectra for the green upconversion at $18\,118~cm^{-1}$ of the Ho^{3+} ions in CdF_2 :2% Ho^{3+} and CdF_2 :2% Ho^{3+} , 2% Yb^{3+} at 77 K.

evident that the codopant Yb³⁺ changes both the shape and the relative intensities of the Ho³⁺ excitation spectrum. First, an inhomogeneous broadening due to the modification of the Ho³⁺ crystal environment can be clearly observed. Second, compared with the single-doped sample, the structureless spectrum of the codoped sample probably results from the diversification of the sites caused by Yb³⁺, and the resulting spectrum is a contribution of many centres with slightly different crystal environments. Similar results have been observed for the emission spectra (see figures 2 to 4). The green and blue upconversion luminescence spectra are given in figures 2 and 3. It can be found from figure 2 that the integrated emission intensity of the green emission increases greatly in the codoped crystal by 27 times with respect to that of the single-doped crystal. Notice that the Ho³⁺ concentration is the same (2 mol%) in both samples.

Concerning the blue emission, the integrated emission intensity decreases twofold in the codoped sample, as shown in figure 3. This implies that the enhancement of green emission cannot be a result of the decrease of the blue emission intensity. The red Stokes emissions of the two crystals studied are given in figure 4, which shows that the integrated red emission intensity for CdF_2 : Ho^{3+} , Yb^{3+} is five times weaker than that for CdF_2 : Ho^{3+} . Note that the integrated intensity of red emission is stronger than that of the total upconversion emissions (green + blue) in CdF_2 : Ho^{3+} , while weaker than the green upconversion intensity in CdF_2 : Ho^{3+} , Yb^{3+} . There must be some relationship between the changes in the two emission intensities. A possible explanation is that the energy transfer to Yb^{3+} depopulates the 5F_5 level and finally populates the 5F_4 , 5S_2 level of the Ho^{3+} ion.

The luminescence lifetimes of the $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ green and blue upconversions and the red Stokes emission were measured in both crystals. Surprisingly, the lifetime of $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ green emission from the ${}^5\mathrm{F_4}$, ${}^5\mathrm{S_2}$ level is very much shorter in $\mathrm{CdF_2:Ho^{3+}}$ (29 $\mu \mathrm{s}$) than in $\mathrm{CdF_2:Ho^{3+}}$, $\mathrm{Yb^{3+}}$ (230 $\mu \mathrm{s}$), as shown in figure 5. The short lifetime in $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ single-doped crystal can be interpreted by an efficient energy transfer process involving two paired $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ ions




Figure 2. Green upconversion spectra of the $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ ions in $\mathrm{CdF_2:2\%}$ $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ and $\mathrm{CdF_2:2\%}$ $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$, 2% $\mathrm{Yb^{3+}}$ at 77 K with red laser excitation at 15 612 cm⁻¹.




Figure 3. Blue upconversion spectra of the $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ ions in $\mathrm{CdF_2:2\%}$ $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ and $\mathrm{CdF_2:2\%}$ $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$, 2% $\mathrm{Yb^{3+}}$ at 77 K with red laser excitation at 15 612 cm⁻¹.

excited in the 5F_4 , 5S_2 state, because the energy interval between 5F_4 , 5S_2 and 5I_4 levels has a good coincidence with that between 5F_4 , 5S_2 and 5G_5 levels. Furthermore, owing to this energy transfer, the 5F_3 level is repopulated by the non-radiative relaxation from upper-lying




Figure 4. Red emission spectra of the $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ ions in $\mathrm{CdF_2:2\%}$ $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ and $\mathrm{CdF_2:2\%}$ $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$, 2% $\mathrm{Yb^{3+}}$ at 77 K with red laser excitation at 15 612 cm⁻¹.




Figure 5. Fluorescence decay curves for the Ho^{3+} green emission in $CdF_2:2\%$ Ho^{3+} (a) and $CdF_2:2\%$ Ho^{3+} , 2% Yb^{3+} (b) at 77 K.

Intensity (a. u.)




Figure 6. Laser power dependence of the $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ blue and green upconversion intensities in $\mathrm{CdF_2:2\%}\ \mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ and $\mathrm{CdF_2:2\%}\ \mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$, $2\%\ \mathrm{Yb^{3+}}$ at 77 K.

levels. As a result, the blue upconversion is enhanced and the green emission is quenched. The decay time of the $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ red emission in the codoped sample is about 55 $\mu\mathrm{s}$, which is shorter than that for the $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ single-doped sample (68 $\mu\mathrm{s}$). This observation confirms the assumption for the enhancement of the green emission.

A study of the $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ green and blue emission intensities on the incident laser power has shown a quadratic dependence in both crystals. The results are presented in figure 6. In spite of their similar power dependence character, the excitation mechanisms for green and blue upconversions are different. The two proposed mechanisms are depicted in figure 7(a) and (b). In the $\mathrm{CdF_2:Ho^{3+}}$ crystal, the energy transfer between two adjacent $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ ions in the ${}^5\mathrm{F_5}$ level excites one ion into the high-lying ${}^5\mathrm{G_4}$ level, and the ${}^5\mathrm{F_3}$ level is then populated through a non-radiative or radiative relaxation process. The $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ green emission level (${}^5\mathrm{F_4}$, ${}^5\mathrm{S_2}$) is first populated by the non-radiative relaxation from the upper-lying ${}^5\mathrm{F_3}$ level, and then a cross-relaxation process between two excited $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ ions depopulates the ${}^5\mathrm{F_4}$, ${}^5\mathrm{S_2}$ in favouring the ${}^5\mathrm{F_3}$ level:

$${}^{5}F_{4}, {}^{5}S_{2} + {}^{5}F_{4}, {}^{5}S_{2} \rightarrow {}^{5}G_{5} + {}^{5}I_{4}.$$

As to the $CdF_2:Ho^{3+}$, Yb^{3+} crystal, since the essential blue emission arises from the Ho^{3+} pairs, the populating process for the blue upconversion is the same as that in $CdF_2:Ho^{3+}$. For the green emission, however, the Yb^{3+} ion plays a very important role. The excitation energy absorbed by the Ho^{3+} 5F_5 level at first transfers to the nearby Yb^{3+} ions with the energy transfer:

$${}^{5}F_{5} + {}^{2}F_{7/2} \rightarrow {}^{5}I_{7} + {}^{2}F_{5/2} \text{ (process 1)}$$

and then a back energy transfer to another excited Ho³⁺ populates the ⁵F₄, ⁵S₂ level:

$${}^{5}I_{6} + {}^{2}F_{5/2} \rightarrow {}^{5}F_{4}, {}^{5}S_{2} + {}^{2}F_{7/2}$$
 (process 2).




Figure 7. Energy level diagram for the proposed upconversion mechanisms. (a) Energy transfer process for $Ho^{3+}-Ho^{3+}$ pair. (b) Energy transfer process for $Ho^{3+}-Yb^{3+}-Ho^{3+}$ mixed centres.

In this mechanism, the Yb^{3+} ion serves as an energy bridge to convert the red emission into green emission, and excitation energy is transferred through Yb^{3+} from one Ho^{3+} ion to another. On the other hand, the presence of Yb^{3+} between two Ho^{3+} ions prevents the interaction between the excited Ho^{3+} ions. This mechanism can explain the results that the green upconversion is greatly enhanced but the blue emission is quenched in codoped sample. Similar process has been also found in the Er^{3+} and Tm^{3+} codoped system upon 1.5 μ m excitation [18].

4. Conclusion

At 77 K, $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ single-doped $\mathrm{CdF_2}$ crystal shows a blue upconversion, whereas the $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ and $\mathrm{Yb^{3+}}$ codoped sample exhibits a very intense green emission under red dye laser excitation. Both upconversion emissions present mainly a quadratic laser power dependence, indicating a two-step process. However, these mechanisms are different. The blue emission is mainly from the energy transfer between the $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ – $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ centres and the green emission is essentially from the $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$, $\mathrm{Yb^{3+}}$ mixed centres. In the later case, $\mathrm{Yb^{3+}}$ ion plays a role of both an energy bridge to convert the red emission to the green upconversion and an energy barrier to prevent the interaction between the excited $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ ions. The efficient upconversion reported in this paper shows that the $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}}$ and $\mathrm{Yb^{3+}}$ codoped crystal could be a prospective candidate in searching for infrared or red to green upconversion lasers.

Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to Mr M Bouffard for his kindly help and discussion. One of the authors, Mr Zhang, is supported financially by the French Embassy in China.

References

- [1] Baker J M 1974 Crystals with the Fluorite Structure (Oxford: Oxford University Press)
- [2] Jouart J P, Bissieux C, Egee M, Mary G and de Murcia M 1981 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 14 4923
- [3] Mho S and Wright J C 1982 J. Chem. Phys. 77 1183
- [4] Jouart J P, Bissieux C, Egee M and Mary G 1983 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 16 3359
- [5] Jouart J P and Mary G 1988 Phys. Status Solidi b 149 633
- [6] Auzel F 1966 C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 262 1016
 Auzel F 1966 C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 263 819
- [7] Esterowitz L, Noonan J and Bahler J 1967 Appl. Phys. Lett. 10 126
- [8] Esterowitz L, Schnitzler A, Noonan J and Bahler J 1968 Appl. Opt. 7 2053
- [9] Ovsyankin V V and Feofilov P P 1966 JETP Lett. 4 317
- [10] Ovsyankin V V and Feofilov P P 1971 Opt. Spectrosc. 31 510
- [11] Auzel F 1990 J. Lumin. 45 341
- [12] Johnson L F and Guggenheim H G 1971 Appl. Phys. Lett. 19 44
- [13] Xu W, Denis J P, Özen G, Goldner Ph and Pellé F 1993 Phys. Status Solidi a 139 503
- [14] Xu W, Denis J P, Özen G, Goldner Ph, Genotelle M and Pellé F Chem. Phys. Lett. 203 221
- [15] Mary G 1986 Thése de Doctorat d'État Université de Reims
- [16] Zhang X, Jouart J P, Bouffard M and Mary G 1994 Phys. Status Solidi b 184 559
- [17] Zhang X, Jouart J P, Bouffard M and Mary G 1994 J. Physique Coll. IV 4 C4 537
- [18] Jouart J P and Mary G 1990 J. Lumin. 46 39